

College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences Cooperative Extension



Relative Humidity...The Best Measure of Overall Poultry House Air Quality Volume 24 Number 2 February, 2012



Figure 1. Temperature, relative humidity, carbon dioxide and ammonia levels in a house with 21 day old birds (December 8)

Knowing how much to ventilate during cold weather is crucial to a poultry producer's bottom line. Ventilating too little can lead to poor air/litter quality, resulting in bird health and performance issues. Ventilating too much can lead to drafty, dusty conditions and high heating costs. To best determine minimum ventilation fan runtime, farm managers should ideally monitor the three most important air quality variables: carbon dioxide, ammonia and relative humidity. Carbon dioxide is produced by both the birds and the house's heating system. High carbon dioxide concentrations can lead to lethargic chicks and reduced weight gains and therefore should be kept below 5,000 ppm (ideally below 3,500 ppm). High ammonia concentrations can lead to poor feed conversions, reduced weight gains, and increased susceptibility to disease. In order to maximize bird performance and health, ammonia concentrations must be kept below 30 ppm (ideally below than 20 ppm) throughout the flock. Last but not least, relative humidity should be kept between 50 and 70%. Lower relative humidities will tend to result in a dusty house and high heating costs while higher relative humidities can lead to wet litter and high ammonia concentrations. Having meters to measure carbon dioxide, ammonia and relative humidity allows farm managers to set their minimum ventilation fans so they ventilate just enough to maintain proper air quality without over ventilating and driving up heating costs.

Learning for Life Agriculture and Natural Resources • Family and Consumer Sciences • 4-H Youth ugaextension.com

An Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Institution

As you might suspect the problem with measuring all three air quality variables is cost. A reasonably accurate and reliable carbon dioxide meter typically costs between \$300 and \$500. Most ammonia meters used in the poultry industry cost between \$500 and \$1,000. The problem is their accuracy is questionable, require frequent calibration, have sensors that typically have to be replaced yearly and they generally cannot be left in a house for extended periods. On a more positive note, monitoring relative humidity is a fairly simple and inexpensive proposition. A reasonably accurate relative humidity meter that is capable of recording daily maximum and minimum relative humidity typically costs between \$50 and \$150. A relative humidity sensor can be added to most controllers for less than \$300 and enables the controller, if the producer desires, to continually make changes to minimum ventilation rates to ensure the relative humidity stays within a specified range.

Though having access to all three types of meters would be helpful, realistically the only meter farm managers need to assess overall poultry house air quality is one that measures relative humidity. This is because for most of a cold weather flock, ammonia and carbon dioxide concentrations tend to closely follow relative humidity. That is, if the relative humidity is high, carbon dioxide and ammonia levels will tend to be high. If the relative humidity is low, carbon dioxide and ammonia levels will tend to be low as well. The close relationship among ammonia, carbon dioxide and relative humidity tends to be strongest with older birds and weakest during the first week or two of the flock. This is due to the substantial amount of carbon dioxide produced by most heating systems and the use of litter treatments which can result in a situation where the relative humidity is low and carbon dioxide high or the relative humidity is high and ammonia concentrations are low.



Figure 2. Temperature, relative humidity, carbon dioxide and ammonia levels in house with birds from day 21 to 28.

The close relationship among carbon dioxide, ammonia and relative humidity can be seen in Figures 1 and 2. Air temperature/Rh, carbon dioxide and ammonia were continually monitored in a 40' X 500' totally enclosed broiler house. Measurements were taken every 15 minutes starting when the birds were 18 days of age and continuing to the end of the flock at 39 days. Carbon dioxide and ammonia concentrations were measured through the use of a high accuracy photoacoustic gas analyzer (\$40,000) while house temperature and relative humidity were being monitored using a poultry house environmental controller (Choretime II).

During the study period, ammonia and carbon dioxide concentrations tended to be within acceptable limits when the relative humidity was 60% or lower. Conversely, when the relative humidity was above 70%, ammonia and carbon dioxide levels tended to climb to what would generally be considered potentially harmful levels. There were of course exceptions. For instance, in Figure 3 on December 21 and 22 the relative humidity was high due to the fact it was raining but the carbon dioxide and ammonia levels were relatively low due to the fact that outside temperatures were fairly warm and a number of fans were operating to maintain proper house temperatures. But, the fact remains that for the most part a relative humidity of 70% or greater is a good indicator that minimum ventilation rates should be increased if optimal growing conditions are to be maintained.

It is important to note that most studies done on the effect that ammonia, carbon dioxide and relative humidity have on bird performance are done with birds exposed to high levels of one, not two or three at the same time. The problem is, as seen in Figures 1, 2, and 3, this is not what tends to occur in poultry houses. When ammonia is high, carbon dioxide and humidity are high as well. It is very possible the combination of high carbon dioxide, ammonia and relative humidity may have a more detrimental effect on bird performance than when just one of the three is high. Furthermore, when air exchange rates are too low (as indicated by high relative humidity, carbon dioxide and ammonia levels) pathogen levels in a house are likely to be higher than normal as well. The combination of low house air quality and higher than normal pathogen levels could very likely lead to an increased susceptibility to disease. It begs the question...How many of our production problems could be avoided if we simply used relative humidity as the basis for adjusting minimum ventilation fan runtime?



Figure 3. Temperature, relative humidity, carbon dioxide and ammonia levels in house with birds from day 29 to 39.

Though there is not an exact correlation among relative humidity, ammonia and carbon dioxide the relationships are consistent enough to indicate that when a relative humidity of 60% or lower is maintained air quality tends to be within acceptable limits. Conversely, a relative humidity of 70% or higher tends to be a fairly accurate indicator of poor air quality. During the brooding period the relationships are not quite as strong so producers need to be aware that ammonia or carbon dioxide levels may still be at harmful levels even if the relative humidity is below 60%.

Michael Czarick Extension Engineer (706) 542-9041 mczarick@uga.edu www.poultryventilation.com

Brian Faichel

Brian Fairchild Extension Poultry Scientist (706) 542-9133 brianf@uga.edu